

Christians for Life and Liberty (CLL)
aka [Columbia Christians for Life](#) ([CCL](#))
Columbia, South Carolina
November 16, 2017

Romish / CFR / faux 'conservative' *National Review*'s [editorial](#) attacks Republican US Senate candidate Roy Moore (Nov 10)

National Review

Roy Moore Should Drop Out

<http://www.nationalreview.com/article/453622/roy-moore-should-drop-out>

by [THE EDITORS](#) November 10, 2017 4:00 AM

Excerpt:

[THE EDITORS](#) [*National Review*]

"There is no doubt that the media and the Democrats are gunning for Moore; there is also, now, no doubt that there is plenty of material for them to mine, **beyond his kooky views and ignorance of the law.**"

Significant presence of members of Rome's [Jesuit-Vatican New World Order treasonous](#), [Jesuit - controlled](#), [Council on Foreign Relations](#) ([CFR](#)) involved in *National Review* leadership, contributors, patrons/benefactors:

National Review [Founder William F. Buckley, Jr.](#) - [CFR member](#) ([CIA officer](#), [Bilderberger](#), [Skull and Bones](#), [Knight of Malta](#))
[[Photo: Pope John Paul II](#) talks with Larry Niven, [William F. Buckley Jr.](#), and Malcolm Muggeridge in the early 1980s]

National Review [Chairman John Hillen](#) - [CFR member](#) (former [Assistant Secretary of State for Political-Military Affairs](#))

National Review [Patrons and Benefactors](#) - Robert Agostinelli - [CFR member](#)

Selected *National Review* [Contributors](#) ([past and present](#)):

[Elliott Abrams](#) - [CFR member](#)

[Eliot A. Cohen](#) - [CFR member](#)

[William F. Buckley, Jr.](#) - [CFR member](#) ([CIA officer](#), [Bilderberger](#), [Skull and Bones](#), [Knight of Malta](#))

[Paul Gigot](#) - [former](#) CFR member

[Charles Krauthammer](#) - [CFR member](#)

[Irving Kristol](#) - [former](#) CFR member

[John Hillen](#) - [CFR member](#) (former [Assistant Secretary of State for Political-Military Affairs](#))

[Vin Weber](#) - [CFR member](#)

[George Weigel](#) - [Recent former](#) CFR member ([personal associate](#) of Joseph Ratzinger, aka **former Pope Benedict XVI**)

[George Will](#) - [former](#) CFR member ([former](#) member of Trilateral Commission)

Selected *National Review* [Washington Editors](#) ([past and present](#)):

[L. Brent Bozell, Jr.](#) - Roman Catholic, brother in law of William F Buckley, Jr. (WF Buckley, Jr. founder of *National Review*)

[George Will](#), 1973-76 - [former](#) CFR member ([former](#) member of Trilateral Commission)

[John McLaughlin](#), 1981-89 - Roman Catholic, **former JESUIT Roman Catholic priest**; former writer, then assistant editor for the **Jesuit** current affairs publication, *America*, in New York City. ... Through a friendship with [Jesuit-educated Roman Catholic Knight of Malta Pat Buchanan](#), John McLaughlin became a speechwriter for [U.S. President Richard Nixon](#). In 1974, after the resignation of President Nixon, John McLaughlin spent two months under President [Gerald Ford](#)'s administration. In 1975, he left the [**JESUIT Roman Catholic**] priesthood. ... He went on to write for the [National Review](#) and to host [The McLaughlin Group](#), which premiered in 1982.

[Kate O'Beirne](#) - Roman Catholic (deputy director of domestic-policy studies at [The Heritage Foundation](#))

National Review Institute - [Board of Trustees](#)

[Robert F. Agostinelli](#) - [CFR member](#)

[Ambassador John Bolton](#) - [CFR member](#) (American Enterprise Institute)

CLL/CCL:

Buried in the hit piece by *National Review's* editors, is the phrase above, about Roy Moore's "[kooky views and ignorance of the law](#)", in the flawed worldview of *National Review's* editors.

What "kooky views" [sic] might those be, *National Review* ? Do you mean his defense of the Personhood of all unborn children beginning at fertilization (Exodus 20:13, [KJV](#)) ?

Or maybe another one of his "kooky views" [sic], about marriage being only between one man and one woman, according to God the Creator's design (Genesis chapter 2, [KJV](#)) ?

And what of Roy Moore's alleged "ignorance of the law" [sic] according to the faux 'conservative' editors of *National Review* ? Do they mean Roy Moore's rejection of the fiction (lie) of "judicial supremacy" which does not exist in the Supremacy Clause of [Article VI](#), Clause 2 of the US Constitution ?

[Excerpt from Article VI, U.S. Constitution](#)
<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/CONLAW04.DOC>

["Abortion is not legal" - Christine Ross and Herbert W. Titus, JD](#)
<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/HerbTitus0501.doc>

[Presidents Thomas Jefferson and Andrew Jackson:](#)
[The Supreme Court is not the final arbiter of the Constitution](#)
<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/CONLAW05.DOC>

The US Supreme Court has done to the US Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church Hierarchy has for centuries done to God's Word, the Holy Bible: usurped, perverted, ignored, corrupted and rejected the authority of the written text for its own pronouncements:

**The Christian Statesman - "For the Crown Rights of Jesus Christ"
" Court Tradition, or the Constitution Alone?"**

November-December 2005 Vol. 148., No. 6, pp. 15-19.

Excerpts [pp. 15-16]:

'... the [US] Supreme Court became to the [US] Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church had become to the Bible. Constitutionally speaking, there are few "Protestants" today. Few believe in the doctrine of the [US] Constitution alone. Few even know it exists.'

We Are All Constitutional Romanists Now [4]

'During the Reformation, Roman Catholics and Protestants were divided by a basic, theological question. On what did authority rest? Did it rest on the Bible plus church tradition or on the Bible alone? The same theological question divides Romanists and Protestants today. Rome holds to tradition. Protestants reject it.'

'In the constitutional realm, a similar question should divide Americans today. On what does the legal authority of the government of the United States rest? On the Constitution plus Court precedents or on the Constitution alone? In the political establishment there is no doubt. The Constitution plus Court precedent are the pillars of authority. This gives the men and women of the legal establishment great power ... when it comes to the ultimate law of the United States, virtually all Americans today are "Romanists." '

'Of course, ignorance permits deception. Americans must become constitutionally literate. There must be a reformation in the way citizens see the role of the [Supreme] Court. There must be a people who raise the banner of "The Constitution Alone." Christians must be those people. They should be able to see the parallel between Scripture Alone and Constitution Alone.'

No surprise then, the current and past makeup of the nine-member US Supreme Court is at least five (six ? *) Roman Catholics (Roberts, Kennedy, Thomas, Alito, Sotomayor), two present members (Ginsburg, Breyer) of the Jesuit-controlled, Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), and Gorsuch is at least a former member of the Jesuit-controlled, Council on Foreign Relations (CFR).

*** Gorsuch was raised Roman Catholic, and attended Jesuit Georgetown Preparatory School**

[Gorsuch: "Gorsuch has not publicly stated if he considers himself a [Roman] Catholic who attends a Protestant church, or if he has fully converted to Protestantism, but "according to church records, the Gorsuches were members of Holy Comforter", an Episcopal church.]

CLL/CCL:

It appears faux 'conservative' National Review's editors hate evangelical Christian Roy Moore's God and Bible-centered views, and they hate his opposition to the fiction (lie) of "judicial supremacy", which has perpetuated child-murder in America to now over 59 Million (reported) dead (*Roe*), and falsely legitimized sodomite and lesbian "marriage" [sic] under the color of "law" [sic] (*Obergefell*).

Roy Moore denies the allegations, and unless and until it is proven otherwise, he should not be punished because of these allegations.

CLL/CCL:

Who founded *National Review* ?

National Review magazine was founded by Firing Line's the late faux "conservative" [sic] William F. Buckley, Jr. William F. Buckley, Jr. was NOT a Christians and was NOT a conservative.

Who was William F. Buckley, Jr. ?

National Review magazine's founder, **Jesuit Coadjutor**, the late William F. Buckley, Jr., was a Roman Catholic, CIA officer, a member of Rome's Jesuit-Vatican New World Order treasonous, Jesuit - controlled, Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), a Bilderberger, a member of the Skull and Bones masonic secret society, a Knight of Malta, and connected to Club for Growth and The Heritage Foundation. William Buckley was a real Papal puppet and globalist for Rome - he was **FALSE OPPOSITION**.

What is *National Review* ?

National Review is a prominent **false opposition** propaganda publication for Rome's Jesuit-Vatican New World Order, providing a media platform for faux "**conservatives**" [sic], such as members of the NWO's treasonous, Jesuit-controlled, Council on Foreign Relations (CFR); and we see in the November 10, 2017 National Review editorial calling for Roy Moore to drop out how much they truly hate a Christian constitutionalist. Don't be deceived Christians. This is an example of why it is so important to know your enemy. **ROME** is the **ENEMY** of Bible Christianity, and there is no other kind of Christianity !

National Review

Roy Moore Should Drop Out

<http://www.nationalreview.com/article/453622/roy-moore-should-drop-out>

by THE EDITORS November 10, 2017 4:00 AM

[CLL/CCL: Excerpts, portion omitted, emphasis added]

The Washington Post published allegations on Thursday that, when he was in his 30s, Alabama Republican Roy Moore dated teenage girls, including a 14-year-old he touched sexually.

The accusations are very old, going back to the late 1970s and early 1980s. There is almost certainly no way to independently confirm them, and Moore denies them strenuously. But the allegations are credible. There are four women who spoke on the record to the *Washington Post* about their encounters with Moore.

What three of them describe is gross, but not criminal. Moore took an interest in them at a young age, tending to find them at a local mall (one was working as a Santa's helper when Moore first sought her out). Then, Moore either asked them out, or went on dates with them when they were aged 16 to 18, with the permission of their mothers. One of them says Moore kissed her, but didn't force himself on her.

More disturbing is the story of the girl who was 14 years old, Leigh Corfman, now 53. She says that Moore partly undressed her at his house, touched her sexually, and tried to get her to do the same to him. He stopped and took her home when she asked, but if Moore did what is described, he committed a crime. The *Post* confirmed that Corfman told two friends at the time that she was seeing an older man, and confirmed through court documents that her mother attended a hearing at the courthouse at the time that Corfman said Moore first approached her there.

continued...

There is no doubt that the media and the Democrats are gunning for Moore; there is also, now, no doubt that there is plenty of material for them to mine, **beyond his kooky views and ignorance of the law**.

The statute of limitations on Moore's alleged sexual misconduct long ago expired, but there is no such thing as a statute of limitations on standards. Roy Moore is not a worthy standard-bearer for the Republican party, and his vulnerabilities are now endangering what should be a completely safe Senate seat.

We, nonetheless, have little doubt that he will soldier on, and he might well still win in December. The better option would be to spare his party the exertions of defending him against these latest allegations (some of his colleagues have already disgraced themselves with absurd rationalizations), and back a new write-in candidate for the seat. That this would be the reasonable thing to do is one reason we assume Moore will do the opposite.

READ MORE:

[Conservatives Should Flee From Roy Moore](#)
[Saving Roy Moore Isn't Worth It](#)
[Briertbart Steps on a Landmine in Roy Moore Piece](#)

Get insight from the best conservative *[sic]* writers delivered to your inbox; sign up for National Review Online's newsletters today. **[NOT !]**

Additional information provided by CLL/CCL:

Alabama Accuser Deletes Anti-Moore Postings from Facebook, Rants About Removing Trump from Office
http://www.breitbart.com/jerusalem/2017/11/10/alabama-accuser-deletes-anti-moore-postings-facebook-rants-removing-trump-office/?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+breitbart+%28Breitbart+News%29
10 Nov 2017

BIRMINGHAM, Alabama A woman who alleges that she engaged in a legal and consensual but inappropriate relationship with Republican senatorial candidate Roy Moore has deleted multiple political postings from her Facebook page.

continued...

Roy Moore says he never knew girl who made sexual misconduct allegations
http://www.al.com/news/huntsville/index.ssf/2017/11/roy_moore_says_he_never_knew_g.html#incart_big-photo
Updated Nov 10, 5:36 PM; Posted Nov 10, 4:40 PM

Alabama Republican Senate nominee Roy Moore, in a statement issued Friday afternoon, said he was "blindsided" by the report Thursday in The Washington Post alleging sexual misconduct with a 14-year-old girl.

At the same statement the statement was issued, Moore appeared on Fox News personality Sean Hannity's radio show and said he did not even know Leigh Corfman, who made the allegations in The Post.

"It's a completely manufactured story," Moore said on the Hannity show.

continued...

'I Don't Know Ms. Corfman from Anybody,' Roy Moore Replies to WaPo Accusation
<http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2017/11/10/i-dont-know-ms-corfman-from-anybody-roy-moore-replies-to-wapo-accusation/>
10 Nov 2017

Roy Moore, former chief justice of Alabama and the Republican nominee for U.S. Senate, issued his full, formal reply Friday to the *Washington Post* report describing allegations of sexual impropriety in the 1970s.

continued..

Allegations against Roy Moore have affected Senate race, new poll says

http://www.al.com/news/huntsville/index.ssf/2017/11/allegations_against_roy_moore.html#incart_big-photo

Updated Nov 10, 5:57 PM; Posted Nov 10, 2:02 PM

A new Alabama Senate poll, conducted Thursday, said the race between Republican Roy Moore and Democrat Doug Jones is tied.

Decision Desk HQ sponsored the poll that was conducted by Opinion Savvy.

The poll said Moore had 46.4 percent support and Jones had 46 percent support.

continued..

Judge Roy Moore: Establishment Republicans, Democrats, Washington Post May Have Colluded in Smear

<http://www.blabber.buzz/politics/conservative-news/262614-judge-roy-moore-establishment-republicans-democrats-washington-post-may-have-colluded-in-smear>

10 November 2017

(AL.com) Roy Moore's pastor at Gallant First Baptist Church:

"I've known Roy Moore for 25 years" ... "He's been a man of integrity, honor and character."

Moore has denied the allegations. "I believe him because I know the man."

(Tom Brown, pastor, Gallant First Baptist Church since 1993)

https://articles.al.com/living/index.ssf/2017/11/at_roy_moores_church_its_worsh.amp

Updated on Nov 12, 2017

(Breitbart, Nov 12) Mother of Roy Moore accuser says Washington Post reporters convinced her daughter Leigh Corfman to come forward publicly

Mother of Leigh Corfman also contradicts a key detail of Washington Post article narrative that Roy Moore called Corfman on phone in her bedroom; the mother saying, at the time there was no phone in her daughter's bedroom

<http://www.breitbart.com/big-journalism/2017/11/12/exclusive-mother-roy-moore-accuser-washington-post-reporters-convinced-daughter-go-public/amp/>

12 Nov 2017

(AL.com) Roy Moore leads by six points: Republican Moore 49 percent to Democrat Jones 43 percent in new Fox10/Strategy Research poll released Tuesday evening (Nov 14)

http://www.al.com/news/index.ssf/2017/11/roy_moore_maintains_lead_in_an.html

November 14, 2017

Latest accuser's alleged Roy Moore yearbook signature is forged

<http://cowgernation.com/2017/11/14/vindicated-signature-forged-12-discrepancies-roy-moores-alleged-yearbook-signature/>

November 14, 2017

(AL.com) Testimonials from 12 women affirming the good character of Roy Moore

http://www.al.com/news/index.ssf/2017/11/roy_moore_announces_12_testimo.html

Nov 15, 2017

BREAKING: Wolf Blitzer Repeatedly Asks Gloria Allred if the Yearbook Signature is a Forgery

— ALLRED REFUSES TO ANSWER! (VIDEO)

<http://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2017/11/breaking-wolf-blitzer-repeatedly-asks-gloria-allred-yearbook-signature-forgery-allred-refuses-answer-video/>

November 15, 2017

E-mail below sent November 14, 2017

Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2017

From: Steve Lefemine <SLefemine@spiritcom.net>

Subject: [Revised] Christians: Beware of Friday's (Nov 10) editorial hit piece on Roy Moore
by CFR / NWO / Romish, faux 'conservative', National Review:

Revision:

**Christians: Beware of Friday's (Nov 10) [editorial](#) hit piece on Roy Moore
by CFR / NWO / Romish, faux 'conservative', *National Review*:**

Revision:

Change:

Supreme Court **decisions** are NOT "the supreme Law of the Land: !!!!

To:

Supreme Court **opinions** are NOT "the supreme Law of the Land: !!!!

Note:

The U.S. Supreme Court is not authorized by the U.S. Constitution to make law

The Supreme Court makes a "decision" in a particular case, in the adjudication of a controversy between named parties to the case. The Supreme Court votes, and "decides" that particular, individual, specific case. However, the written Opinion ostensibly substantiating the decision is not a decision, it is called an Opinion.

Words matter. The terms we use create a framework for thought. As has been expressed by more than one person, use of the wrong words can obstruct the right thoughts from even being thought of in the first place.

The U.S. Supreme Court is the final arbiter in a case, as to the particulars of that case (the parties, the law, and the facts). The U.S. Supreme Court is NOT the final arbiter of the U.S. Constitution. The U.S. Supreme Court's decision (vote) in a specific case is binding on the parties to the case as to the other particulars of that case (facts and law). However, the U.S. Supreme Court Opinion is not the law for the whole country ["[Abortion is not legal](#)" - [Christine Ross and Herbert W. Titus, JD](#)].

The U.S. Supreme Court is not authorized to legislate (to make laws) by the U.S. Constitution

The [United States Constitution](#) is clear only the Legislative Branch makes law. Article I, [Section 1](#) states:

"All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives."

If "All" legislative Powers are vested in the United States Congress (U.S. Senate and U.S. House of Representatives), then how many "legislative Powers" are vested by the U.S. Constitution in the Judicial Branch, including the U.S. Supreme Court ? Answer: Zero.

U.S. Supreme Court Opinions are not "the supreme Law of the Land"

Additionally, over and over again, those of us who have sought to "establish Justice" [[Preamble](#), U.S. Constitution] for unborn human beings, have been opposed by some who remind us of what is referred to as "the Supremacy Clause", wrongfully claiming that the abominable, un-Biblical, unconstitutional *Roe v. Wade* (1973) **Opinion** of the U.S. Supreme Court is somehow "the supreme Law of the Land". This is false. This is error. And this flawed thinking has contributed mightily to the murder of [over 59 Million](#) (reported) human beings on American soil over the last 44+ years. Our land is polluted with the shed judicially innocent blood of these children (Numbers 35:33, [KJV](#)), which shed innocent blood is crying out to Almighty God for Justice and Judgment (Genesis 4:10, Psalm 89:14, Psalm 97:2, Amos 5:15, [KJV](#)).

The [United States Constitution](#) is clear there are only **three things** identified in the text which are "the supreme Law of the Land". Article VI, [Clause 2](#) ["the Supremacy Clause"] states:

"This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding."

The three things identified **in the written text** of the U.S. Constitution which are "the supreme Law of the Land" are:

- 1) **The U.S. Constitution itself (written text);**
- 2) **Federal Laws made in pursuance of the U.S. Constitution; and**
- 3) **Treaties (consistent with the written text).**

See also:

[Excerpt from Article VI, U.S. Constitution](#)
<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/CONLAW04.DOC>

["Abortion is not legal" - Christine Ross and Herbert W. Titus, JD](#)
<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/HerbTitus0501.doc>

[Presidents Thomas Jefferson and Andrew Jackson:](#)
[The Supreme Court is not the final arbiter of the Constitution](#)
<http://christianlifeandliberty.net/CONLAW05.DOC>

[The US Supreme Court has done to the US Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church Hierarchy has for centuries done to God's Word, the Holy Bible:](#) usurped, perverted, ignored, and rejected the authority of the written text for its own pronouncements:

**[The Christian Statesman](#) - "For the Crown Rights of Jesus Christ"
[" Court Tradition, or the Constitution Alone?"](#)**

November-December 2005 Vol. 148., No. 6, pp. 15-19.

Excerpt [p. 15]:

'... the [US] Supreme Court became to the [US] Constitution what the Roman Catholic Church had become to the Bible. Constitutionally speaking, there are few "Protestants" today. Few believe in the doctrine of the [US] Constitution alone. Few even know it exists.'

See <http://christianlifeandliberty.net/2017-02-06-The-Christian-Statesman-Nov-Dec-2005-Court-Tradition-or-the-Constitution-Alone.pdf>

[Edited - November 14, 2017 Revision incorporated below]

Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2017

From: Steve Lefemine <SLefemine@spiritcom.net>

Subject: Christians: Beware of Friday's (Nov 10) editorial hit piece on Roy Moore
by CFR / NWO / Romish, faux 'conservative', National Review:

**Christians: Beware of Friday's (Nov 10) editorial hit piece on Roy Moore
by CFR / NWO / Romish, faux 'conservative', *National Review*:**

National Review

Roy Moore Should Drop Out

<http://www.nationalreview.com/article/453622/roy-moore-should-drop-out>

by [THE EDITORS](#) November 10, 2017 4:00 AM

Christians: Beware of Friday's (Nov 10) editorial hit piece on Roy Moore by CFR / NWO / Romish, faux 'conservative', *National Review* - they hate Roy Moore's God and Bible-centered views, they hate his opposition to the fiction (lie) of "judicial supremacy" (in the editorial, *NR* wrote about Moore's "kooky views [*sic*] and ignorance of the law" [*sic*]), which has perpetuated child-murder to now over 59 Million (reported) dead; all the while Romish "pro-life" [*sic*] groups like [Vatican](#) - [proxy](#) National Right to "Life" [*sic*]; and the [Pope-appointed](#) US Roman Catholic Bishops; and the CFR member / Jesuit Roman Catholic priest / Opus Dei Roman Catholic priest / former US Ambassador to the Vatican - [advised](#) / [directed](#) Americans United for "Life" [*sic*]; all tell us we can't pass Personhood to **END** the child-murder because of *Roe's* "supremacy" [*sic*] [which is a [Romish, legal establishment fiction](#) - see [Article VI](#), Clause 2 of US Constitution - Supreme Court opinions are NOT "the supreme Law of the Land: !!!!]

Roy Moore strongly denies the allegations, and unless and until it is proven otherwise, he should not be punished because of unproven allegations from 38 years ago. He is innocent until proven guilty. There is a method by which to make a decision on the matter, and it is call due process.

Roy Moore's pastor (First Baptist Church, Gallant, AL, since 1993.) says, "I've known Roy Moore for 25 years" ... "He's been a man of integrity, honor and character." Moore has denied the allegations. "I believe him because I know the man," [Tom] Brown [pastor] said.

[AL.com - https://articles.al.com/living/index.ssf/2017/11/at_roy_moores_church_its_worsh.amp]

National Review founder William F. Buckley, Jr. was NOT a Christian and he was NOT a conservative. He was a Roman Catholic, Jesuit Coadjutor, CIA officer, member of the Jesuit-controlled CFR, Skull and Bones masonic secret society member (just as are "[Mr. NWO](#)" GHW Bush 41 and globalist GW Bush 43), Bilderberger, and Knight of Malta. William Buckley was a real Papal puppet and globalist for Rome - he was **FALSE OPPOSITION**, and we see in Friday's *National Review* editorial calling for Roy Moore to drop out how much they truly hate a Christian constitutionalist.

Do not be deceived Christians. This is an example of why it is so important to know your enemy.

ROME is the **ENEMY** of Bible Christianity, and there is no other kind of Christianity !
